## **EXHIBIT 5**

Page 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

```
FIRS HOME OWNERS ASSOCIATION, )

Plaintiff, )

vs. ) No. C19-1130RSL
)

CITY OF SEATAC, )

Defendant. )
```

## VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION

OF

KATHRYN CAMPBELL

Taken at SeaTac, Washington
(All participants appeared via videoconference.)

DATE TAKEN: JULY 23, 2020

REPORTED BY: ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032

		Page 11
1	knew that the property owner didn't comply with the	
2	state law?	
3	A. Not at first, but it was made very clear that	
4	the property owner failed to do what the State	
5	required.	
6	Q. And do you feel that the City was empowered	
7	to take action if it knew that state law wasn't being	
8	followed by the property owner?	
9	A. My opinion is the City did everything it	
10	possibly could to help the residents of the Firs with	
11	their dispute with the property owner.	
12	Q. Do you think the City could have denied the	
13	relocation plan if they determined notice was not	
14	adequate?	
15	A. The City, as I recall, based its	
16	recommendation on the results of the planning	
17	commission. And if the planning commission okayed it,	
18	the City would have had a hard time finding a	
19	justification for not okaying it.	
20	Q. So is it your understanding that the City was	
21	not empowered to do anything to enforce compliance	
22	with state law under its own state code or city	
23	code, excuse me?	
24	Do you want me to ask that again?	
25	A. Yes, I think you should.	

	Page 86
1	A. Of course.
2	Q. And would you want the city council to be
3	apprised of what was going on while this was
4	happening?
5	A. As a member of the city council at the time, l
6	had confidence that what should be done was being done
7	by the people who were supposed to do it.
8	Q. And do you hold that opinion today?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And do you recall hearing the King County
11	Superior Court judge found otherwise?
12	A. In what matter?
13	Q. That the City erred in approving the
14	relocation process plan?
15	MR. PLANT: I'm going to object. Assumes
16	facts not in evidence.
17	MR. DONCKERS: Sure. I'm just asking if
18	she's aware of the ruling by Judge LeRoy McCullough.
19	A. Not specifically, no.
20	BY MR. DONCKERS:
21	Q. Okay.
22	Did you ever hear about any decision that the
23	Superior Court entered on regarding the process?
24	A. I I cannot answer that. I'm not sure that
25	I was notified of anything.

		Page 89
1	points telling the Ms. Lynch what she needed to do	
2	to prepare the final relocation report and plan for	
3	approval, so what she needed to do for the plan to be	
4	approved.	
5	Are you with me at the top sentence there?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. And then he identifies all these different	
8	actions for her to take.	
9	Now, from the perspective of of a city	
10	council member, was all this information would you	
11	expect that all this information would be based just	
12	on the code? Or do you think it would come from	
13	something else?	
14	A. Mr. Donckers, I do not have the expertise to	
15	form an opinion on that.	
16	Q. Okay.	
17	A. That's a matter for the city staff to know,	
18	and need to have confidence in.	
19	Q. Okay.	
20	And at the bottom, it says: The plan should	
21	be boiled down to essentials. At its current size,	
22	it's intimidating and likely to overwhelm residents.	
23	Do you see that?	
24	A. I have seen it. It's not on the screen right	
25	now.	
1		

		Page 121
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON ) ) ss.	
4	COUNTY OF KING )	
5		
6		
7	I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand	
8	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do	
9	hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true	
10	and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and	
11	ability.	
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand	
13	and seal this 31st day of July 2020.	
14		
15	HDTC4	
16	anite W. Seef	
17	anue w. recep	
18	ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		